			, CIDATI
		$\chi$	STAT
		10 July 1974	
	•		
	NOTE FOR THE RECORD		
	HOLE FOR THE RECORD		
	SUBJECT: Employment of	as Chairman,	
	Security Comm		
			STATINTL
ATINTL			
	1. As the attached men	norandum <u>indicates, t</u> he AO/	DCI has
	reviewed alternate methods of	employing in ord	ler to try
	and pay him more than \$10, 500	a year (the difference betwe	en his
	annuity and the pay of the posit	ion). After reviewing our re	egulations
	and coming up with the same co	onclusions as the AO/DCI, I	talked to
	attorneys in the Office of Gene	ral Counsel, Civil Service C	ommission,
	to see if they could think of a w	vay to get around the pay-lim	its problem
	I explained the facts as relayed	to me by the AO/DCI, i.e.,	,
· .	is a retired GS-18 under the Ci	vil Service System (FBI) and	l is to be
	appointed to a position paying a	a GS-18 salary; he would wor	k almost
	every day for between four to s		
	mittently (about one day a week		STATINTL
			SIATINIL
	2. Mr. Manny Schmidt	: (who works on personal ser	vice and
	independent contractor contrac		as either
ATINTL	an employee (regardless of who		tant or
	contract employee) or he was a		
	•	lependent contractor if he we	
	to prepare a report for the Gor		~ /
	mittee. Mr. Schmidt felt that		
•	impossible, to prepare a legal	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	110.
	as Chairman of the Security Co		-
	contractor. A contract such as		nstandolAlliviL
	7 - 7		. ~ .
	legal scrutiny, or pass the "sn		
**************************************	a policy-making or executing p	osition and the responsibiliti	ies are
	The state of the s	osition and the responsibiliti	ies are

MORI/CDF

STATINTL

11.7 the state of the completion of the completi	
would "appoint", which is another indication of an employer	-
employee relationship.) As an employee, tan only make	
in salary the difference between his annuity and the salary of the position	n
STATINTL he is appointed to fill. The same limitation applies to an annuitant-cons	sult
3. I thereafter spoke to Mr. Harry Gastley, a specialist in retiment matters. He confirmed much of what Mr. Schmidt had said. Mr. Gastley also said that even if we were to employ or only six months instead of a year, he could not be paid \$10,500 for six month's work but could receive only about \$5,200. (The annuity allocated to the period of employmentabout \$12,800subtracted from the pay of the position allocated to the period of employment\$18,000leaves what may be paid\$5,200.)	
STATINTL	
Office of General Counsel	

Attachment

cc: AO/DCI w/background